

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/038,352 | 01/02/2002 | Kurt G. Steiner | 47071/MJM/A717 | 7046 |
| 23363 7 | 590 08/08/2002 | | | |
| CHRISTIE, P | ARKER & HALE, LI | _P | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 500 | LORADO BOULEVAR | ^L D | NGUYEN, HA T | |
| PASADENA, CA 91105 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2812 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/038,352

Lynne Gurley

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Steiner et al.

2812



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>one</u> MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | | |
| mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the | statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | | |
| - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the | | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 2, 200</u> | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti | on is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 💢 Claims <i>1-25</i> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/038,352 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 18-25, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 624.
 - II. Claims 1-17, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 760+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, ie a process which does not require TEOS or a source chemistry including ammonia.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mark J. Marcelli on 8/1/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Application/Control Number: 10/038,352

Art Unit: 2812

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

Page 3

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 305-3474. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

John F. Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Art Unit 28/2

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

lag

August 1, 2002